

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/749,072	12/30/2003	Sabeto DiRoma	CHC 10200	7940	
28396 7591 0.912/2008 LAW OFFICES OF RAYMOND A. NUZZO, LLC 579 THOMPSON AVENUE			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			JOYNER, KEVIN		
EAST HAVEN, CT 06512		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1797	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/12/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/749.072 DIROMA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit KEVIN C. JOYNER 1797 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 December 2003. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/30/03

Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/749,072 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-7 in the reply filed on March 14, 2008 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- Claims 8-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
  1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on March 14, 2008.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 7 recites the limitation "said mixing chamber" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The Office suggests the Applicant to amend to, "said mixing container."

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/749,072

Art Unit: 1797

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Young et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4.345.099).

Young discloses a method for dissolving urea comprising;

Providing a mixing container (7) depositing a predetermined amount of urea and a predetermined amount of water into said mixing container to yield a predetermined urea/water concentration (column 10, lines 18-45);

mixing said urea and said water to form a mixture (column 5, lines 59-61); monitoring the temperature of the mixture (column 9, lines 20-25);

allowing the mixture to stand until the temperature of the mixture reaches a predetermined temperature (column 9, lines 50-68; column 10, lines 1-15; column 5, lines 1-5); and

thereafter, resuming mixing of the mixture until the urea completely dissolves in the water (column 5, lines 1-10; column 3, lines 50-55). More specifically, the conversion of biuret in the process attributes to the urea content (column 3, lines 50-55) and therefore also contributes to the complete dissolution of urea in the mixture. The addition of a base to the mixture allows for the conversion of biuret, wherein the base is

Application/Control Number: 10/749,072

Art Unit: 1797

added to the mixture incrementally (column 5, lines 5-10), which teaches the limitations of allowing the mixture to stand and thereafter resuming mixing of the mixture. The mixture can also drop below the required levels wherein said levels must be adjusted in order to complete the conversion of biuret (column 4, lines 66-68), which also teaches the limitation of allowing the mixture to stand until the temperature reaches a predetermined temperature.

Regarding claim 2, Young also teaches that the predetermined urea/water concentration is about 50/50 wt/wt (column 5, lines 25-30). Concerning claim 3, Young continues to disclose that the predetermined amount of urea that is in the predetermined urea/water concentration does not exceed 50% of the total weight of the predetermined urea/water concentration (column 10, lines 18-45). With regard to claims 4-6, the reference continues to disclose maintaining the temperature of the mixture in the mixing container at the predetermined temperature of about 23°C (column 6, lines 65-68). Concerning claim 7, the limitations are met with respect to claims 1 and 2 above, and therefore the explanations to claims 1 and 2 are relied upon as necessary.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN C. JOYNER whose telephone number is (571)272-2709. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone Art Unit: 1797

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elizabeth L McKane/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

KCJ